#### MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

## THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING SUBCOMMITTEE FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

February 18, 2025

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Subcommittee for Technical Assistance was called to order by Vice Chair Brooke Page at 1:05 p.m. on Tuesday, February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <a href="https://dwss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/">https://dwss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/</a> the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services website.

## **COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Vice Chair Brooke Page, Corporation for Supportive Housing Director, Southwest, Nevada

Austin Pollard, State Housing Manager for United Healthcare

Dr. Pamela Juniel, McKinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education, Nevada

Adrienne Babbitt, Field Office Director, Department of Housing and Urban Development

Chris Murphy, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Julee King, Product Manager, Bitfocus Inc.

## **COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Owner, CEO, Chief Strategist, Winged Wolf Innovations LLC
Lorena Lemus, Case Management Services Coordinator Northern Nevada Hopes, Reno Nevada
Cristy Costa, Human Services Director, Northern Nevada Community Housing
Kimberly Martin, Corporate Administrator, Clean Shot Living

### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

Alexis Ochoa, Social Services Manager, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Welfare and Supportive Services

Carlea Freeman, Family Services Supervisor, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Division of Welfare and Supportive Services

Gregory Farris, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Nevada

Ryan Kokoski, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Nevada

Ryan Sunga, DAG, Nevada

Agenda Item I. [Welcome, Call to Order, and Roll Call]

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

Good afternoon, and welcome to the Governor's Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Subcommittee. This meeting has been publicly noticed and compliance with Nevada's open meeting law. Vice Chair Brooke Page will call the meeting to order.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Good afternoon, it is 1:05 p.m. on February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025. I'd like to call the meeting of the Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Subcommittee for Technical Assistance to order. Carlea, will you please call roll?

Carlea Freeman: [Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you very much. We will now move to item number two.

**Agenda Item II.** [General Public Comments]

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Item number two on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, and the meeting ID is 489 058 294 followed by pound. We are now open for public comment. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the council. Do we have any public comment? Seeing none, hearing none, we will close this agenda item. We will move on to agenda item number three.

**Agenda Item III.** [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Approval of Minutes from January 21, 2025, Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Subcommittee Meeting]

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Are there any discussion or questions regarding the minutes? Seeing none, hearing none, I will entertain a motion.

## **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I move to approve the minutes from January 21st, 2025.

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you, Adrienne. Do we have a second?

#### **Austin Pollard:**

I second.

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you, Adrienne and Austin. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor, please indicate by unmuting yourself and saying "aye".

#### Austin Pollard, Pamela Juniel, Adrienne Babbitt:

Aye.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "nay". Any abstentions? Please unmute yourself and indicate by stating you abstain.

#### **Chris Murphy:**

I abstain, as I was not present.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Motion passes. Let's move on to agenda item number four.

**Agenda Item IV.** [For Possible Action – Discussion and Review of the Subcommittee Member Commitments, Attendance, and Vacancies. Possible Vote Regarding the Applications Received on Filling the Four (4) Vacant Seats to Complete Membership at Thirteen (13)]

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you so much to the staff for helping with nominations for a few candidates. I'll turn it over to Carlea to walk us through this agenda item.

#### Carlea Freeman:

We received two applications from Dayona Turner and La Joie Whitsett-Gage. Dayona received a score of seventy-seven and La Joie received a score of fifty-seven. All Subcommittee Members voted, except Cristy Costa and Kimberly Martin.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Do we have any discussion on the two candidates that we're considering today for possible action?

#### Pamela Juniel:

When you speak of those scores, are those total aggregate scores or are those averages? Can you just further explain that for individuals that may not have gone through this before? Thank you.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

This is a total scoring summary, not an average.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you.

#### Adrienne Babbitt:

Is that out of one hundred? I'm not familiar with the total possible score versus score achieved.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

I'm not sure how it was scored, I just know that it wasn't based on average, this is the total number based on how you all scored.

## **Adrienne Babbitt:**

Per each member, the total score was twenty for full points for each person. How many people submitted scores? I think you said we were missing two.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

Yes.

#### Pamela Juniel:

That's what I was alluding to, because there is just a big number, but it would be nice to know what the average score was based on each member voting. For everyone that submitted scores on the spreadsheet, could we see how those were calculated?

## Ryan Kokoski:

This is all that was provided for now, and I will follow up with Abigail, when she is available.

## **Pamela Juniel:**

Thank you so much for that, that's useful information, especially if we're consider new members. Since there is a twenty-point difference between each of these applicants, it would be nice to know where those differences occurred.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

It sounds like, if seven people scored, that would put a total of one hundred forty, if it's twenty points each. Out of one hundred forty, there was seventy-seven and the other one was fifty-seven. Members, do we feel like we have enough information to make a decision today on these two candidates?

#### Pamela Juniel:

Since there is data missing and a twenty-point difference between the candidates, I would like to discuss that, so I have some understanding. I don't know what the cut off is, if there is one, or a threshold that needs to be met. Those are some of the things that I would like to have more information on, so I can make the best informed decision with regards to these candidates. Thank you.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any other thoughts on that?

## **Chris Murphy:**

If this isn't a pressing issue, then I would suggest we make a motion to table this till next month or till we have all the information to make that informed decision and see if we can get the average score of each category for those candidates. That way, we can see the differences, because twenty points is big.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

If it's out of one hundred forty, that doesn't put any of these candidates over like sixty percent, if that's the total.

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

Before we move to a motion, I wanted to ask, are we ongoing accepting new interest lists and are we able to do outreach to community individuals that we think might be interested in participating? I believe I was told that this is an ongoing interest list, but out of twenty-six interest letters, only two submitted their actual letters. I'm just wondering if there's additional opportunity to outreach to some of those folks, maybe it was a short time constraint. I just wanted to get some more information, because we have four seats open, and I anticipate this is going to be an ongoing need. Thank you.

## Pamela Juniel:

I agree and concur with Adrienne's thought with regards to that. Also knowing that there were twenty-six individuals initially, with certain organizations, there have been transitions. With that being said, we did have a brief discussion in our last meeting, where we discussed maybe doing ongoing recruiting at a more consistent basis. Thank you.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

From what I understand, this is an open application process and to your point, Adrienne, it would be beneficial for all of us to be identifying folks who could possibly be a good fit for this body and to submit their interest. Carlea, if you could help us, maybe get out the process that if someone is interested in joining, if there is an ongoing link or notification that we could share amongst our networks so that we can help recruit, that would be helpful.

## **Carlea Freeman:**

Yes of course, we will get that emailed out.

### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I move to table the approval and voting of new membership to this body until next meeting.

#### Pamela Juniel:

I second.

#### Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Is there any discussion on that? Seeing none, hearing no discussion, all those in favor, please indicate by unmuting yourself and saying "aye".

## Austin Pollard, Pamela Juniel, Adrienne Babbitt, Chris Murphy:

Aye.

#### Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "nay". Any abstentions? Please unmute yourself and indicate by stating you abstain. Motion carries. As far as the commitments, the current membership and the current attendance, Carlea, do you have any information on where we stand related to our existing members and the current attendance?

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

We did reach out to Cristy Costa and Kimberly Martin regarding their resignation from the Council, however, we have not heard back anything. We can reach back out to follow up with them.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

From what I recall, the process was since they have been notified of their attendance concern, the next step, is it for this body to vote based off of that outreach or is there another step that we need to do another follow up?

### Pamela Juniel:

In full transparency, when I was struggling to attend these meetings due to the Nevada Department of Education Division meetings being at the same time. It is my understanding that there was some outreach and then there was some discussion with regards to support, and I know it's different for each individual, but I just wanted to share that. Thank you.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Great, thank you. Adrienne?

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I think Ryan explained in the last meeting that the outreach would also include notification that we would be voting on their continued participation, just wanted to confirm that that is accurate. Also, looking for a refresher on the last meeting that Cristy Costa attended, as I don't recall that information.

## **Ryan Sunga:**

Yes, Adrienne, you remembered that correctly.

## **Adrienne Babbitt:**

Thank you. Brooke, was that action completed that we sent that notification or was it just outreach on asking for resignation?

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

It sounds like staff has sent notification to the members, that their membership is up for discussion. I am under the impression that this is the meeting that we have the authority to make a decision if we want to vote them out or as Doctor Juniel mentioned, provide them with accommodation or further time to understand their commitment. Chris?

## **Chris Murphy:**

Do we know when that was sent out? So we can actually look and see if we've given them an appropriate amount of time to respond.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

We reached out to them on January 24th.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

You haven't heard any response from that communication?

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

Correct.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Do we feel like from January 24<sup>th</sup> to today is enough time? Are there any thoughts?

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

I could read to you all what we sent.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

That would be great.

#### Carlea Freeman:

The email sent out on January 24<sup>th</sup> says: Good afternoon, we are reaching out to you on behalf of the ICHH Subcommittee for Technical Assistance. The Subcommittee has noticed several absences, and we encourage your participation and attendance in the meetings. Without our ICHHTA members' attendance, we're at risk of not having quorum in monthly meetings and achieving the goals of the Subcommittee. The ICHH by-laws state council members must participate in at least seventy-five percent of meetings within any twelve-month period, and the ICHH Subcommittee strives to align with these bylaws. We appreciate your efforts into making this successful and look forward to seeing you at the next ICHHTA meeting. However, if you choose to resign from the council please reply with your written resignation from the Subcommittee, and we'll make sure the Chair, Michele Fuller-Hallauer gets it. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

#### **Ryan Sunga:**

I think that letter, as you read it, falls short of sending notification to the member that you intended to take action at this meeting to remove them. So, I think you're going to have to afford these people a bit

more due process. They're going to have to be sent something specifically saying that you're going to be taking action to remove them at another meeting and that they will have an opportunity to come and refute the action. I don't think that email says that clearly enough for you guys to be taking action today.

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you, Ryan. Carlea, can you and the team send out another email to Kimberly and Cristy, letting them know that their membership will be up for consideration at our next meeting?

#### Carlea Freeman:

Yes of course, will do.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Okay, so depending on that, we already have four vacancies, so we'll have to consider what that looks like between now and then. Members, if you have some ideas for possible membership to this committee, it would be great to bring some other folks to the table. With that, let's go ahead and close agenda item number four, and we'll move on to agenda item number five.

**Agenda Item V.** [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding the Alternative Ways or Format of Presenting the Action Plan]

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

The staff sent out examples of three different formats that we discussed at our last meeting to present the information of the Action Plan. Now, we need to make a decision on either of those or if anyone has thoughts or alternative ideas to share. We want to be able to figure out which format we want to move forward with on the Action Plan. Has anybody had a chance to review those three options and have any thoughts? There was an Excel Spreadsheet and two links to examples of how other communities are synthesizing their data. The spreadsheet has the Strategic Issue of Housing, the goals that are intended to be achieved with that and for each goal, there's a subsequent action that needs to take place, as well as feedback from the work groups. Then, the next step would be to identify a timeline, and which action type it is. There were also two links to examples, one was more of a condensed version that shows the goal and the various different actions below that and the status, in a more simplified version. The other link was a bit more in depth and the most detailed, it would show the various different Strategic Issues in different color codes and the objectives of each one of those Strategic Issues. Then, you would break down each Strategic Issue and the various different actions that need to be done under each one of those goals. Adrienne?

### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I am of a mind that we need two. I like the really simplified one because it's easily digestible for people that are interested in knowing what the work is that's happening, but for others, for tracking purposes and benchmarking, I think we need a way to further document and track the work that's being done. We need to look at what information we feel is valuable to track and then build it to our specifications, but I do think we need this progress, really simplified way to share this information.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great. Thank you, Adrienne.

#### Pamela Juniel:

I would just like to reiterate, if we're recruiting new members, that simplified version would be really helpful in communicating where we are and what we're doing. On the other hand, our in-house Technical Assistance Committee, in terms of tracking what we're doing, we'd be able to dissect each of these Strategic Issues and the corresponding action steps. Thank you.

### Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thank you, Doctor Juniel. Any other feedback?

## **Chris Murphy:**

I like how the spreadsheet looks, the way it's broken up and listed all of our goals and action steps. I agree that the two is beneficial, especially because of the vastness of the information we're talking about.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thanks Chris. It sounds like it'd be good to have both a simplified version and a more expansive version for this work group to keep track of what we're doing. Maybe folks can come to the next meeting prepared with some ideas about what information we would want to track on a more detailed version. Is there a motion to move forward with two types of documents, one condensed and one more expansive?

## **Chris Murphy:**

I move to have two versions to present the information of the action plan.

## **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I second.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

We have a motion and a second. All those in favor, please indicate by unmuting yourself and saying "aye"

## Austin Pollard, Pamela Juniel, Adrienne Babbitt, Chris Murphy, Julee King:

Aye.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "nay". Any abstentions? Please unmute yourself and indicate by stating you abstain. Motion carries. Let's move on to agenda item number six.

**Agenda Item VI.** [For Information Only – Update on the Poll Survey Regarding the Top Three Action Items from CoCs, Providers and Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness]

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

We had a survey go out, so great job to the staff and everybody that got that out. Thank you. Doctor Juniel?

#### Pamela Juniel:

Congratulations to our support team for pulling together all of the items. We were able to meet and format it by condensing the twenty-seven items. The survey included some demographic information about where the participants would self-identify and also an item where participants would be able to tell if they were North, South, rural or if they wanted to identify another location. Then we took each of the questions that we first were able to allow participants to sort with regards to the level of importance which Strategic Issues resonated with them and the top three action items within each of the Strategic Issues. I did send it out in my newsletter, and I believe we have over fifty responses but would love to hear from the rest of the team to see where we are at. Thank you.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Awesome, thank you. Carlea, are there any stats on this item?

### **Carlea Freeman:**

Yes, Ryan will be sharing his screen.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you, Ryan. Great, there's fifty-seven responses, lots of CoC members and service providers, eight individuals with Lived Experience, and then Community Stakeholders and others. There's a great mix of North and South, and only eight rural, but I wonder if that's a pretty good numbering considering it's rural. The results of participants ranking the Statewide Strategic Issues in the order of most important to them were, number one issue: Housing, number two: Homelessness Prevention and Intervention, and three: Wraparound Services. Definitely feels like a theme, but the next two that aren't as close to the top three but are almost tied are Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health and Education and Workforce Development. The next one, rank the Housing Action Steps in the order of most important, number one: Identify Permanent Funding Sources, number two: Develop Multi-Sector Housing Ecosystem, and number three: Standardize Tenant Selection Plan.

#### Pamela Juniel:

Just so everyone is aware, the way that these are rank ordered in these results, they were not listed in that same order.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Thank you. Number five, the results of ranking the Action Steps regarding Homeless Prevention and Intervention were one, Prevention Funds more Accurately Targeted for Diversion and Problem-Solving, number two, Capacity Building on Reentry & Diversion Programs, and number three, Statewide Master Resource List to Include MCO Benefits. This is some good clarity. Number six, ranking the Action Steps relating to Wraparound Services were one, Engaging Stakeholders to Establish Partnerships to Leverage Existing Resources, two, Better Access to Match Dollars for Service Providers, and three, Training Modules for Law Enforcement, Service Providers, & Jurisdictions. The top three for Education and Workforce Development are Incorporate Training & Certification Programs with Existing Providers, then two, Training Modules for Law Enforcement, Service Providers, & Jurisdiction, and three, Create a State Youth Advisory Board. For Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health, in ranking order is Identify Permanent Funding Sources, Develop Health Homes Program in Nevada, and Coordination of Data

Sharing for All Programs. Number nine, the top three Action Steps regarding Coordination of Data and Resources are Coordination of Data Sharing for All Programs, Systems Mapping Create a Visual Map Identifying Systems of Care, then Gather Feedback on What Data from HMIS/CMIS is Most Helpful. Number ten, top three Action Steps in terms of what policies are Prioritize Developments that Build Deeply Affordable Units, then Implement Requirements and Incentive for Developers for Deeper Subsidies, and three, Inclusionary Zoning. Lastly, the top three Action Items related to long term planning are, Identify Permanent Funding Sources, then Ensure Projects are Working Towards Statewide Goals via a Tracking Mechanism, and Integrate Lived Experience and Justice into Governance and Program Development. This gives us a lot of information and a priority that feels very clear, so thank you all so much for this. With the fifty-seven responses to this survey, do we feel like we have enough representation to move forward with our work at this juncture?

#### Julee King:

I'm not sure how many people this survey went out to, but I am kind of impressed that there were that many responses and that they were diverse across the different types of roles that they have.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

This is a great mix, good point, Julee. Thank you. Austin?

## **Austin Pollard:**

I was thinking the same thing, I'm not sure how many people it went out to, but I do like the diverse mix of responses and the information that's gathered. I'm excited about it.

#### Pamela Juniel:

In looking at the individuals who responded and the data, it also gives me the opportunity to do more outreach with individuals with Lived Experience. Being on the Education and Workforce Development Strategic Issue, one of the things that came up in discussion is how often the individuals are a part of the process versus us imposing a process that's considered easier from a service provider standpoint. So before even getting into the Strategic Issues, it's good to look at who the respondents are in terms of numbers. I'm really pleased with the groups work on this and there's a few more days left, so I encourage everyone to push this out one more time to get more data.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

I agree, if we could do a last push and get as many responses as possible. Any other thoughts? Hearing none, we'll close agenda item number six and move on to agenda item number seven.

**Agenda Item VII.** [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action to Identify Top Three Action Items for the ICHH to Consider Prioritizing Moving Forward]

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

After seeing the survey results, do we feel like we have enough information to make a decision about which three items we want to prioritize to advance to the Interagency Council on Homelessness to Housing for them to start agendizing and figure out how we're moving forward with those?

#### Pamela Juniel:

I do think we have some good information, however I would like to sideline the other items and components that are important. Since we are in Legislative Session at this time, I was wondering, upon advancing this information to the ICHH, would this information be used to inform what's going on in Legislation at this point or is it too late since we are already in Legislative Session?

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

I think there are plenty of Housing and Homelessness bills that have been introduced so far, that given us moving forward something to the Interagency Council, there could be opportunities to leverage the current activity to tie it to this work and track it more intentionally at the Interagency Council level. Any other questions? Adrienne?

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I think that we do have enough information, especially combined with those that took the poll at the Youth Conference, it's clear that funding is repeatedly at the top of the list. I think even if we don't agree that we have enough information to propose all three, let's look at the most obvious top two, but in my opinion, we have enough information to propose what the top three Action Items should be.

### Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Thanks Adrienne. This agenda item appears to be speaking to the Action Items themselves, not necessarily the goals, so it looks like identifying permanent funding sources was definitely one of those Action Items which I agree is an important topic that also hit on multiple goals. Doctor Juniel?

#### Pamela Juniel:

Since we did already sort the Strategic Issues, are we taking the top one Action Step from each of those top three Strategic Issues?

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

We need to make a decision as a body as to how we want to move forward, because the Interagency Council wanted us to home in on topics that are important for us to advance and to put on their agenda item, so that they can get educated on those issues and start working through them. Based on what we know, what are the most important three items?

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

Can we see the results of the poll again, please.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

The first one was the Strategic Issues and then under that is the Action Items.

#### Pamela Juniel:

When you say top three, which top three are we talking about? Is it the top ranked one for each of the top three Strategic Issues, so it would be the number one from Housing, Homelessness Prevention and Intervention, and then from Wraparound Services, or take all three from Housing?

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

What do you all think should be the approach we take?

## Julee King:

I feel like we should do the top three Action Steps for each of the top three Strategic Issue, but would that be too many and put us over a limit?

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

My understanding was that of the twenty-four Action Items within these eight Strategic Issues, we had to pick three and they probably really only want one. I don't think sending nine is a good idea, I think we should send three that we think are both important and attainable that would be supported.

#### Pamela Juniel:

Both suggestions are good, thank you for bringing that up, Adrienne. We've taken twenty-seven things, whittled it down to eleven, and now we're whittling it down to three or four. If we look at each of the Action Items under each of the Strategic Issues to see which ones were ranked the most important, that would be helpful.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

I think this survey gives us a really good narrowing, it whittles it down to the three Strategic Issues that we need to home in on, and it also gives us some priorities within those top three. At this point, maybe we should do a survey amongst our committee to get Members' votes and determine which of the top three Action Steps out of the top nine are we moving forward with.

#### **Austin Pollard:**

Makes sense to me.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

We'll continue to work on the rest of the items, it's just that the ICHH is only going to focus on the ones that we advance.

#### **Adrienne Babbitt:**

I have another meeting to get to, but I move to have a poll among these members to select the top three based on this poll, based on this committee, to advance to the ICHH.

#### Pamela Juniel:

I second.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Is there any discussion on that? Seeing none, hearing no discussion, all those in favor, please indicate by unmuting yourself and saying "aye".

## Austin Pollard, Pamela Juniel, Adrienne Babbitt, Chris Murphy, Julee King:

Aye.

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "nay". Any abstentions? Please unmute yourself and indicate by stating you abstain. Motion carries. Carlea, if you could help us to get that poll out to our members to vote on the top three.

### **Carlea Freeman:**

Okay, sounds good.

### Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Great. Let's close this agenda item and move on to agenda item number eight.

**Agenda Item VIII.** [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Meeting Schedule for 2025]

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

After sending out an email to members asking their preference on days of the week and meeting cadence, did you guys receive any feedback on that?

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

The only suggestion we received was to move the meeting to Thursdays at 1:00 PM, everyone else responded that the current day and time works.

#### Pamela Juniel:

Since there was only one suggestion, and that probably came from me because sometimes the Council for Food Security meets at the same time, would it be possible to maintain the current meeting day and time that the majority recommends and then have an alternate if we need some flexibility?

## Ryan Sunga:

Do you still have quorum?

#### Carlea Freeman:

Yes, although Adrienne left, Julee joined so we still do.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Does anyone have any concerns with having an alternate day?

## **Chris Murphy:**

I could not do the third Thursday, but I could do the second Thursday.

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Yeah, I could do the second Thursday too.

## Julee King:

I could do either the second or third Thursday.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Carlea, did the time slot issue also come up? Because right now we've got a two hour hold on our calendars.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

We have not received any feedback from the time slot itself.

#### Pamela Juniel:

The month of March will be busy for me due to releasing the new Mckinney Vento Grant so I will be reviewing those applications, so I just wanted to offer some flexibility for any of us that has other obligations. Thank you.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Okay, right. Austin, did you have any preference on any of these days?

#### **Austin Pollard:**

No, my schedule gets very difficult sometimes, but I have this time blocked out on my calendar which makes it easy. If we did change or anything else popped up, there is a likelihood that I could attend, but the longer some things in my schedule blocking out that time, the less likely I have conflicts.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Same for me, so thank you all for getting that out early and blocking my calendar.

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

Just wanted to add that the ICHH also holds their meetings on the third Thursdays, so there may be a conflict.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Could we make a motion to do a poll for the second Thursday of the month at 1:00 PM? Doctor Juniel?

#### Pamela Juniel:

Is the second Thursday considered the back up or the actual new meeting time? Just looking for some clarification.

## Vice Chair Brooke Page:

Yes, I think it would be backup, in the event that we didn't meet quorum, and still have the meeting within the month if we do that second Thursday.

## **Chris Murphy:**

Are we going to do the doodle poll for this?

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Yeah, I was recommending a doodle poll just to get a sense of if that would be a good backup day and time for people. Carlea, are you guys able to do a doodle poll for us to assess availability?

#### **Carlea Freeman:**

Yes, so we'll look into if that's something that we can do, and we'll send an email letting you all know.

## **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Okay, so based on the results of the doodle poll, we'll table this for our next meeting. We'll close this agenda item and move on to agenda item number nine.

**Agenda Item IX.** [For Information Only – Discussion of Agenda Items for the Next Meeting on March 18, 2025]

### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

Based on our conversation today, we definitely want to have a follow up regarding the vacancies, so we'll keep agenda items one, two, three and four. The discussion regarding alternative ways or format, we would like for our Committee Members for this particular agenda item to come with your ideas of topics we would want on an expanded spreadsheet and information we want to capture. The poll survey will be closed by the next meeting, so maybe keep agenda item six to review the final results of the poll. We'll keep agenda item number seven as well because we're going to have our own internal TA poll for the Technical Assistance Work Group to determine our three Action Items that we'll be voting on. Also, agenda item number eight, the results of the doodle poll related to our alternative date and agenda item number nine. Are there any other topics to add? Hearing none, we'll close agenda item number nine and move on to agenda item number ten.

**Agenda Item X.** [General Public Comments]

#### **Vice Chair Brooke Page:**

This is our last public comment for this meeting. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111 and the ID number is 489 058 294 followed by pound. We are now open to public comment. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the record. Do we have any public comment? Seeing none, hearing none, we will close this agenda item. We will move to adjournment. It is 2:19 p.m. on February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025. I call to adjourn the meeting of the of the Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Subcommittee. Thank you all for your service.

Agenda Item XI. [Adjournment: 2:19 PM]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Shelly Aguilar, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:

Brooke Page

Brooke Page, Vice Chair

Date: March 4, 2025